

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,630	01/19/2005	Eiji Ueda	10873.1556USWO 4212	
	7590 08/06/2007 UMANN, MUELLER &	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2902-0902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			NGUYEN, LINH THI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/521,630	UEDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Linh T. Nguyen	2627	

	Linh T. Nguyen	2627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire I is checked, check either box (b) or the statutory period for reply expire I is checked, check either box (c) or the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires the statutory period for	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	, ,	126(a) and the appropria	to autonoian foo				
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	diaman with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two ments					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amenament	(1. 102.02.1).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bafaar ay ay Aba daka af Elima a N	ation of Americal will ma					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.							
·							
	SUPERVISORY P	YOUNG ATENT EXAMINES					
	<i>1</i>	,					

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's argue Kaneko detection level (5) is not determined by calculating the emitting power of the laser light. However, level (5) is determined by corresponding reproduction level (page 6, lines 18-19) and the reproduction level is corresponding to the reproduction mode signal (2) which a signal during data reproduction (page 6, lines 14-115), after the level (5) is predetermined by receiving the reproduction mode signal (2), which the laser diode is regulated to the output power durig reproduction the detection level is compared to level (5) and level (3) to detect any abnormal signals (defiencies) (page 7, lines 3-12). Also claim 1 does not discloses "variable value," therefore, is moot. The claim discloses " a threshold value determined by calculating a value of the emitting power of the laser light source adjusted by the power adjusting section," not "variable value of emitted light." Claims 1-12 are not patentable in view of Kaneko.

WAYNE YOUNG' SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER